

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated December 14, 2004.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 has been amended as instructed by the Examiner to overcome the rejection. Claims 2 and 3 remain unchanged.

The applicant has reviewed the prior art as cited by the Examiner but not used in the rejection and believes that claims 1-3 clearly and distinctly patentably define over such prior art.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



SIGNATURE

Leong C. Lei

Registration No. 50402

March 5, 2005